F. No.75/1/2024-THC-(Part file) - (Comp. No. 28632) Government of India Ministry of Tribal Affairs (Tribal Health Cell)

Jeevan Tara Building, New Delhi-110001

Dated: 14.11.2024

Subject:

Minutes of the Project Approving Committee (PAC) Meeting through VC Mode held on 07.11.2024 at 2:30 PM under the Chairpersonship of Smt. R. Jaya, Additional Secretary, Ministry of Tribal Affairs (MoTA) in Conference Room 1st Floor, Jeevan Tara Building regarding approval of Centre of Competence (CoC) proposals.

The undersigned is directed to forward herewith the Minutes of the meeting on the above subject mentioned above for kind information and appropriate action.

2. This has the approval of Additional Secretary (RJ).

(Ramesh Chand Chandrawal)
Under Secretary to the Govt. of India
Email: tribalhealth@gmail.com

To,

List of PAC Members

- 1. Mrs. R. Jaya, Additional Secretary, M/o Tribal Affairs
- 2. JS&FA, M/o Tribal Affairs
- 3. Principal Secretary/Secretary, Tribal Welfare Department of respective State Governments
- 4. Secretary, M/o Health & Family Welfare
- 5. Secretary of State Health Department of respective State Governments
- 6. Head of Medical Institutions concerned (for CoC proposals only)
- 7. Deputy Secretary, THC, M/o Tribal Affairs.

Copy to:

1. Participants (as per list).

MINUTES OF MEETING ON SETTING UP CENTRE OF COMPETENCE UNDER THE DHARTI AABA JANJATIYA GRAM UTKARSH ABHIYAN

A meeting was held under the Chairpersonship of Additional Secretary (Ms. R.Jaya), Ministry of Tribal Affairs on setting up of Centre of Competence (CoC) in the Sickle Cell affected States under the Dharti Aaba Janjatiya Gram Utkarsh Abhiyan on 07-11-2024 at 2.30 pm at 1st Floor, Jeevan Tara Building through VC Mode.

- 2. The proposals of the States of Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Odisha, Assam, Uttarakhand, Gujarat, Karnataka, Kerala, Rajasthan & Tamil Nadu were placed before the PAC for consideration and approval.
- 3. The proposals for setting up of CoCs in the following institutions were considered by the PAC.

(1) AIIMS Mangalagiri, Andhra Pradesh and King George Hospital, Vishakapatnam, Andhra Pradesh

The state representatives requested that the proposal for AIIMS Mangalagiri and King George hospital may be considered. The PAC however, decided that it would consider only one CoC in each State in the first round, so that each of the 18 States would have a CoC initially. A second CoC may be considered at a later stage, based on savings in the scheme. State representatives were unable to decide the preferences between the two Institutes. Since at present only one institute could be considered, it was decided to defer consideration of both proposals till the state clearly indicates its priority.

(2) TELANGANA - NIZAMS INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCE

The PAC raised the query of NIMS not having a pediatrician and whether a hematologist can handle the pediatric cases. Experts in the PAC opined that the pediatrician cannot be replaced by a hematologist.

MD NHM Telangana responded that the pediatrician will be posted in the institution on a long-term contract basis/ permanent post, and the State will ensure the continuity of the personnel posted.

The PAC considered and approved the proposal from NIMS Telangana, based on the condition that the State furnishes documents validating the posting of pediatrician at NIMS.

Further, the PAC approved the funds for the CoC will be released only after the posting is completed. No post should not be vacant for more than 60 days. This includes posts filled up on contract basis in the CoC.

The details of the approved proposal are as follows:-

a. Cost of Machinery / Equipment under Grants under CCA:

Equipment	Cost as per guidelines	Requested by the	Approval status
	(in Rs.)	institution (in Rs.)	
NGS Device	Up to 3 crores	3 Crores	May be Approved

Demand from the institution for machinery / equipment under Grants under CCA: Rs. 3 Crores

Total cost Approved for machinery/equipment under Grants under CCA: Rs. 3 crores

b. Recurring cost under GIA component:

Equipment	Recurring cost per	Proposed amount	Proposed amount	Approval
	year as per	year 1	year 2	Status
	guidelines	(in Rs.)	(in Rs.)	
NGS Device	13.2 – 99 lakhs	24 lakhs	24 lakhs	May
				be Approved

Demand from the institution under recurring cost: Rs. 48 lakhs

Total recurring cost approved under GIA component: Rs.48 lakhs for a period of 2 years, subject to actual costs and further subject to the per cost limit as prescribed under the technical guidelines of the MoHFW.

(3) SCB MEDICAL COLLEGE HOSPITAL, CUTTACK, ODISHA

The PAC considered the proposal from SCB Medical College, Cuttack, Odisha, and the proposal is found to be in order.

The proposal from SCB Medical College, Cuttack, Odisha has been approved by the PAC as follows.

a. Cost of Machinery/Equipment under Grants under CCA:

Equipment	Cost as per guidelines (in Rs.)	Requested by the institution (in Rs.)	Approval Status
NGS device	Up to 3 crores	2.5 crores	Approved
DNA extraction equipment	4-10 lakhs	8 lakhs	Not Approved as the Institution already has the equipment
Thermal cycler	3-6 lakhs	5 lakhs	Approved
Spectrophotometer	3.5- 5 lakhs	5 lakhs	Approved
Gel electrophoresis	2-5 lakhs	5 lakhs	Approved
HPLC for newborn screening	40 lakhs	40 lakhs	Approved
Isoelectric focusing for newborn screening	15 lakhs	15 lakhs	Approved

Capillary zone	37 lakhs	35 lakhs	Approved
electrophoresis			

Demand from the institution for machinery/equipment under Grants under CCA: Rs. 3.63 Crores

Total cost Approved for machinery / equipment under Grants under CCA: Rs.3.55 Crores

b. Recurring cost under GIA component:

Equipment	Recurring cost per year as per guidelines (in Rs.)	Proposed amount year 1 (in Rs.)	Proposed amount year 2 (in Rs.)	Approval Status
Sanger sequencer	` ′	4.5 lakhs	18 lakhs	Approved
NGS device	13.2- 99 lakhs	20 lakhs	80 lakhs	Approved
DNA extraction equipment	46,800 – 1.17 lakhs	0.25 lakhs	1 lakh	Approved
Thermal cycler	35,000 - 70,000	0.15 lakh	0.6 lakh	Approved
Spectrophotometer	38,000 - 55,000	0.15 lakh	0.5 lakh	Approved
Gel Electrophoresis	22,000 - 55,000	0.15 lakh	0.5 lakh	Approved

Demand from the institution under recurring cost: Rs. 1,25,80,000.

Total recurring cost approved under GIA component: **Rs. 50 lakhs** for a period of 2 years, subject to actual costs and further subject to the per cost limit as prescribed under the technical guidelines of the MoHFW.

(4) ASSAM MEDICAL COLLEGE, DIBRUGARH, ASSAM

The PAC considered the proposal from Assam medical college, Dibrugarh, Assam.

The proposal is found to be in order and has been approved by the PAC as follows:-

a. Cost of Machinery/Equipment under Grants under CCA:

Equipment	Cost as per guidelines	Requested by the	Approved/ Not
	(in Rs.)	institution	approved
		(in Rs.)	
Sanger Sequencing Device	45 lakhs -1.8 crores	1.80 crores	Approved
NGS Device*	Up to 3 crores	3.0 crore	Not approved
DNA Extraction equipment	4-10 lakhs	10 lakhs	Not approved
Thermal Cycler	3-6 lakhs	6 lakhs	Not Approved
Spectrophotometer	3.5-5 lakhs	5 lakhs	Approved
Gel Electrophoresis	2-5 lakhs	5 Lakhs	Not Approved
Additional	Equipment as Per Hae	moglobinopathy Guide	elines
Haemoglobin equipment for newborns screening by DBS	Up to 40 lakhs	40 lakhs	Approved
Isoelectric focusing for newborn screening	Up to 15 lakhs	15 lakhs	Approved

Haemoglobin HPLC variant system	Up to 43 lakhs	43 lakhs	Approved
Capillary Zone Electrophoresis	Up to 37 lakhs	37 lakhs	Approved

Demand from the institution for machinery/equipment under Grants under CCA: Rs. 6.41 crores

Total cost Approved for machinery/equipment under Grants under CCA: Rs. 3.2 Crores

b. Recurring cost under GIA component:

Equipment	Recurring cost per	Proposed amount	Proposed amount	Approved/not
	year as per	year 1	year 2	approved
	guidelines	(in Rs.)	(in Rs.)	
	(in Rs.)			
Sanger sequencer	4.95-19.8 lakhs	19.8 lakhs	19.8 lakhs	Approved
NGS device	13.2- 99 lakhs	99 lakhs	99 lakhs	Not Approved
DNA extraction equipment	46,800 – 1.17 lakhs	1.17 lakhs	1.17 lakhs	Approved
Thermal cycler	35,000 – 70,000	70,000	70,000	Approved
Spectrophotometer	38,000 - 55,000	55,000	55,000	Approved
Gel Electrophoresis	22,000 - 55,000	55,000	55,000	Approved

Demand from the institution under recurring cost: Rs. 2,43,54,000.

Total recurring cost approved under GIA component: Rs. 45,54,000 for a period of 2 years, subject to actual costs and further subject to the per cost limit as prescribed under the technical guidelines of the MoHFW.

(5) AIIMS RISHIKESH, UTTARAKHAND

The PAC scrutinized the proposal from AIIMS Rishikesh for the establishment of CoC. It was noted that Uttrakhand has a small tribal population with lesser disease incidence. Hence, the admissibility of sanctioning the CoC itself as well as a Sanger Sequencer equipment was discussed. However, the AIIMS Rishikesh team informed that the NGS device is with the research division of the hospital and is used for research purposes. The PAC opined that Uttarakhand has lesser tribal population, for which the AIIMS team replied that the migratory tribal population is higher in the State and establishing a CoC is necessary for catering this population. Hence the PAC considered the proposal.

Based on the discussions, the PAC agreed to approve the CoC proposal, in principle, for AIIMS Rishikesh subject the following conditions.

- AIIMS Rishikesh to furnish the data on the number of SCD and other hemoglobinopathy patients they diagnosed/are treating over the past 5 years, as early as possible.
- AIIMS Rishikesh to furnish the data on the usage of NGS device, to estimate the current load of the device, as early as possible.
- The undertaking by the head of the institution shall be furnished in the new format through the TWD.

- The institution already has an NGS device and has requested for a Sanger sequencing device. Since the guidelines allow for a Sanger Sequencing Device to be provided only in the absence of both devices it was decided not to consider the request.
- The AIIMS Rishikesh to become a hub for tribal health.

AIIMS Rishikesh was also instructed to furnish the undertaking in the new format through the TWD. Moreover, in the case of Uttarakhand the number of the cases and SCD burden was likely to lesser and hence investment in both the devises was not found to be necessary.

The approvals for the CoC, to be established at AIIMS Rishikesh is as follows:-

Cost of Machinery/Equipment under Grants under CCA:Equipment	Cost as per guidelines (in Rs.)	Requested by the institution (in Rs.)	Approval Status
Sanger Sequencing Device	45 lakhs -1.80 crores	1.80 crores	Not Approved
Haemoglobin equipment for newborns screening by DBS	Up to 40 lakhs	40 lakhs	Approved
Isoelectric focusing for newborn screening	15 lakhs	15 lakhs	Approved
Haemoglobin HPLC variant system	Up to 43 lakhs	43 lakhs	Approved
Capillary Zone Electrophoresis	Up to 37 lakhs	37 lakhs	Approved

Demand from the institution for machinery/equipment under Grants under CCA: Rs. 3.15 crores

Total cost Approved for machinery/equipment under Grants under CCA: Rs. 1.35 crores

a. Recurring cost under GIA component:

Equipment	Recurring cost per	Proposed	Proposed	Admissibility
	year as per	amount year 1	amount year 2	Status
	guidelines			
Sanger sequencer	4.95-19.8 lakhs	10 lakhs	10 lakhs	Pending for
				Approval based
				on the data
				furnished by
				AIIMS
				Rishikesh
NGS device	13.2- 99 lakhs	30 lakhs	30 lakhs	Approved
DNA extraction	46,800 – 1.17	1 lakhs	1 lakhs	Approved
equipment	lakhs			
Thermal cycler	35,000 – 70,000	50,000	50,000	Approved
Spectrophotometer	38,000 - 55,000	50,000	50,000	Approved
Gel Electrophoresis	22,000 - 55,000	50,000	50,000	Approved
Haemoglobin HPLC	Nil	7.5 lakhs	7.5 lakhs	Not Approved
variant system				(No line item in
				the guideline)

Demand from the institution under recurring cost: Rs. 1.0 Crore

Total recurring cost approved under GIA component: Rs. 50 lakhs for a period of 2 years, subject to actual costs and further subject to the per cost limit as prescribed under the technical guidelines of the MoHFW.

(6) NEW CIVIL HOSPITAL AND GOVERNMENT MEDICAL COLLEGE, SURAT

A proposal to establish CoC at New Civil Hospital and Government Medical College, Surat was presented before the PAC for consideration.

The following observations were made by the PAC on the proposal.

- The facility lacks adequate infrastructure and qualified staff for running the CoC.
- The proposal is not forwarded by the TWD.
- The financial requests in the proposal are incomplete.

Based on these observations, the PAC decided to reject the proposal from New Civil Hospital and Government Medical College, Surat.

Gujarat team was requested to send a new proposal for an institution with all the necessary staff and infrastructure. MOHFW was requested to coordinate with the state NHM team and identify a facility from Gujarat as soon as possible.

(7) MYSORE MEDICAL COLLEGE, KARNATAKA

A proposal for the establishment of CoC at Mysore medical College, Karnataka was presented before the PAC for consideration. The following observations were made by the PAC.

The facility has no hematologist. The institution head informed that they have a pathologist trained in hematology. The facility has shown a cost range, instead of required cost for the machineries and recurring cost. The college was directed to correct this and submit the revised proposal.

The PAC decided to approve the proposal from Mysore Medical College for the establishment of CoC, based on the condition that the state submits a financial proposal and the post of the hematologist is fulfilled up.

Further, the PAC approved the funds for the CoC will be released only after the posting is completed. No post should not be vacant for more than 60 days. This includes posts filled up on contract basis in the CoC. The financial approvals of the PAC were deferred till the receipt of the same from the State.

(8) KOZHIKODE MEDICAL COLLEGE, KERALA

A proposal for the establishment of CoC at Kozhikode Medical College, Kerala was presented before the PAC for consideration. The following observations were made by the PAC:

The college has a Pathology department and has pathologists who are experienced in SCD and other Hemoglobinopathies. However, there is no hematologist, and without a hematologist, the proposal cannot be approved. The Principal Secretary and Director of Medical Education informed that a qualified person (Pathologist trained in hematopathology) will be posted at the Medical College before the CoC starts functioning. The Proposal has requested for HR cost, which cannot be approved. There is no counsellor available at the college and is mandatory for the approval of the CoC. The college responded that the counsellor will be posted by the college themselves, before the CoC starts functioning.

The proposal for the establishment of CoC at Kozhikode Medical College, Kerala has been conditionally approved by the PAC. The conditions to be fulfilled by the State are:-

- The PAC raised the query of **Kozhikode Medical College** not having a Hematologist.
- **Kozhikode Medical College** responded that the Hematologist will be posted in the institution on a long-term contract basis/ permanent post, and the State will ensure the continuity of the personnel posted.
- The PAC considered and approved the proposal from Kozhikode Medical College, based on the condition that the State furnishes documents validating the posting of Hematologist. at Kozhikode Medical College
- Further, the PAC approved the funds for the CoC will be released only after the posting is completed. No post should not be vacant for more than 60 days. This includes posts filled up on contract basis in the CoC.
- The State furnishes documents validating the posting of Hematologist is fulfilled.
- The PAC approved the funds for the CoC, will be release only after the posting is completed. The financial approvals will be shared post the reception of modified proposal from the state.
- The financial approvals of the PAC were deferred till the receipt of the same from the State.

(9) RNT MEDICAL COLLEGE, UDAIPUR RAJASTHAN

A proposal for the establishment of CoC at RNT medical College, Rajasthan was presented before the PAC for consideration. The following observations were made by the PAC. The undertaking in the proposal is in the old format and the State is directed to furnish the proposal in the new format.

On consideration, the PAC has conditionally approved the proposal for the establishment of CoC at RNT Medical College subject to the following conditions:-

 The Institution (RNTMC) to submit with undertaking in new format through the State TWD. The new proposal must have specific rates against each line item instead of price range.

(10) INSTITUTE OF CHILD HEALTH AND HOSPITAL FOR CHILDREN – MADRAS MEDICAL COLLEGE, TAMIL NADU

A proposal to establish CoC at Institute of Child Health and Hospital for Children-Madras Medical College Tamil Nadu (ICHHFC) was presented before the PAC for consideration.

The following observations were made by the PAC on the proposal:-

The facility has all the required faculty for the establishment of CoC. No HR cost will be given by the MoTA for the CoC. The undertaking is in the old format.

Based on the observations made, the PAC has conditionally approved the proposal of Institute of Child Health and Hospital for Children – Madras Medical College, based on the following condition:-

• The Institute of Child Health and Hospital for Children-Madras Medical College Tamil Nadu (ICHHFC) will furnish undertaking in the new format through State TWD.

The proposal for the establishment of CoC from Tamil Nadu has been conditionally approved as follows:-

a. Cost of Machinery/Equipment under Grants under CCA:

Equipment	Cost as per guidelines (in Rs.)	Requested by the institution (in Rs.)	Approval Status
DNA Extraction Equipment	4-10 lakhs	20 lakhs	10 lakhs Approved
HPLC equipment for Newborn Screening	40 lakhs	40 lakhs	Approved
Isoelectric focusing for newborn screening	15 lakhs	-	-
Hemoglobin HPLC variant system	43 lakhs	43 lakhs	Approved
Capillary Zone Electrophoresis	Up to Rs. 37 lakhs	-	Not Approved

Demand from the institution for machinery/equipment as per guidelines under Grants under CCA: Rs. 1.03 crores.

Total cost Approved for machinery / equipment under Grants under CCA: Rs. 93 lakhs.

b. Recurring cost under GIA component:

Equipment	Recurring cost per	Proposed amount	Proposed	Approval Status
	year as per	year 1 ((in Rs.)	amount year	
	guidelines		2	
			(in Rs.)	
Sanger sequencer	4.95-19.8 lakhs	18 lakhs	18 lakhs	Approved

DNA extraction equipment	46,800 – 1.17 lakhs	1,50,000	1,50,000	1.17 lakhs per year Approved
Thermal cycler	35,000 – 70,000	65,000	65,000	Approved
Spectrophotometer	38,000 - 55,000	50,000	50,000	Approved
Gel Electrophoresis	22,000 - 55,000	50,000	50,000	Approved
Therapeutic apheresis system	Nil	2,00,000	2,00,000	Not Approved
Kits for HPLC	Nil	12,50,000	12,50,000	Not Approved

Demand from the institution under recurring cost: Rs. 71,30,000.

Total recurring cost approved under GIA component: Rs.41,64,000 for a period of 2 years, subject to actual costs and further subject to the per cost limit as prescribed under the technical guidelines of the MoHFW.

SUMMARY OF INSTITUTION-WISE DECISION

CoC proposal for the following States were approved:

SR. NO.	STATES	APPROVAL STATUS
1	Andhra Pradesh	Deffered
2	Telangana	Conditionally approved
3	Odisha	Approved
4	Assam	Approved
5	Uttarakhand	Conditionally approved
6	Gujarat	Rejected
7	Karnataka	Conditionally approved
8	Kerala	Conditionally approved
9	Rajasthan	Conditionally approved
10	Tamil Nadu	Conditionally approved

TOTAL COST

Sr. No.	Name of the Institution (CoC)	Total Cost
1.	Telangana - Nizams Institute Of Medical Science	Rs.3.48 Crores
2.	SCB Medical College Hospital, Cuttack, Odisha	Rs.4.05 Crores
3.	Assam Medical College, Dibrugarh, Assam	Rs.3.65,54,000.
4.	AIIMS Rishikesh, Uttarakhand	Rs.1.85 Crores

	GRAND TOTAL	Rs. 14,38,18,000
5.	Institute Of Child Health And Hospital For Children – Madras Medical College, Tamil Nadu	Rs.1,34,64,000

Following general discussions/decisions were made in the PAC meeting:

- 1. On the question of whether recurring costs can be given only for the newly approved equipment and for the already existing equipment, also it was decided to sanction the recurring cost for all the equipment keeping in view that the CoC is to be treated as a unit and also the recurring cost would increase after two years for the institution. Hence, the recurring cost of Rs. 50 lakh was decided to be approved for 2 years based on actuals for all the institutions.
- 2. The PAC has decided that all proposals are approved on the conditionality that none of the essential posts needed for the functioning of CoC shall be kept vacant and if at all there is any vacancy due to an unavoidable circumstance, then the vacancy shall be filled within 60 days.
- 3. All institutions for whom the proposal is approved, shall furnish the layout plan for CoC to the MoTA before the funds are released.
- 4. All approved CoCs shall furnish the action plan for setting up of the facilities, community engagement plans and hub and spoke models plan with the district hospitals, CHCs and PHCs of the catering districts, within a month to MoTA.
- 5. The recurring cost norms shall be adhered to by all the facilities approved.
- 6. Shri Harsh Mangla, Director MOHFW suggested that the quarterly reports from the facilities shall be uploaded in the SCD portal and necessary changes in the portal shall be made for facilitating this. This was approved by the PAC, and MOHFW was requested to develop the necessary formats for the quarterly reports and make necessary changes in the SCD portal for the uploading of quarterly reports.
- 7. The CoCs should become functional within 120 days of this approval.
- 8. The entire component of required specialists as per the technical guidelines of MoHFW to be in place before release of funds.
- 9. The institutions are informed that CoC is not a project and the institutions are to cater to the tribal population through the CoC, post two year period of funding by MoTA. The institutions also will act as the spokes of MoTA for tribal health related activities with the hubs being District Medical Centres.
- 10. The PAC also deliberated on whether an NGS Device may be sanctioned in the first instance even if the Sanger Sequencer Machine is not available. The PAC decided to refer the matter to the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW) for clarification.

List of Participants:

S. No.	Name	Email ID
1	Ms. R. Jaya, Additional Secretary, MoTA	js-ades@tribal.gov.in
	(CHAIRPERSON)	js-mota@tribal.gov.in
	Shri Harsh Mangla, Director, MoHFW (Representative of MoHFW)	harshmangla@rediffmail.com
	Ms. R. Mythili, Deputy Secretary, MoTA (Representative for JS&FA, MoTA)	r.mythili@gov.in
	Shri. Ashok Kumar Gupta, DS, THC, MoTA (Member Secretary)	ashok.kgupta@nic.in
	Dr. Pradeesh, National Officer, Public Health, WHO	cbp@who.int
	Shri Ramesh Chand Chandarwal, US, THC, MoTA	rcchanderwal.edu@nic.in
7	Sh. Sushil Bading, Under Secretary, IFD	Sushil.bading79@nic.in
	Dr A.SHARATH, Secretary, TWD, Telangana	ctwtspeshi@gmail.com,
		ctwtgs@gmail.com
8	DD TW Telangana	ddileep00@gmail.com
9	NHM Telangana	bloodcellts@gmail.com
10	DIRECTOR-TW AP	apctwd@gmail.com
11	Dr. Sonia, Blood Cell, NHM - AP	dr.sonia.mohan@gmail.com
12	Ms. Sreerekha, Joint Director, Kerala	keralatribes@gmail.com
13	Shri Sheshadeva Behera, Secretary, TWD Odisha	stscdev@gmail.com
14	MD NHM ODISHA	missiondirector@nic.in
15	Dr Anuradha Aggarwal Monga MoHFW	dranuradha.aggarwal@gmail.com
16	Shri Udyaadityagagoai, Director, TWD, ASSAM	ps-tribal@cg.gov.in
	Shri R. H Vaswa, JS, TWD, Gujarat	sectdd@gujarat.gov.in
18	MD, NHM, Gujarat	md-nrhm@gujarat.gov.in
	Dr Anupam Dutta, Associate Professor Assam Medical College and Hospital.	dranupamdutta80@gmail.com
	Superintendent, King Jorge Hospital, Andhra Pradesh	kghsuperintendent@gmail.com
21	Dr. Neha Sing, Pathologist, Rishikesh, Uttrakhand	neha.path@aiimsrishikesh.edu.in
22	AIIMS Mangalagiri	director@aiimsmangalagiri.edu.in
23	Mysoure Medical College, Karnataka	mprincipalmmcrimysore@gmail.com
24	Kozhikode Medical College, Kerala	principalmcc@gmail.com
25	Sh. Anurag Bhatnagar, JS TAD Rajasthan	ps-tad@rajasthan.gov.in
26	RNT Medical College, Rajasthan	principalrntmcudr@gmail.com
27	Nizams Institute of Medical Science, Telangana	ksreddy.nims@gmail.com
	SCB Medical College and Hospital Cuttack Odisha	scbsuperintendent@yahoo.com scbsuperintendent@gmail.com
29	Assam Medical College, Dibrugarh, Assam	principalamch@rediffmail.com superintendentamc@rediffmail.com,
30	AIIMS Rishikesh, Uttarakhand	info@aiimsrishikesh.edu.in

31	New Civil Hospital and Government Medical	dean.health.surat@gmail.com
	College, Surat,	deangmcs-gj@nic.in
32	Institute of Child Health and Hospital for Children	ichpicu gmail.com,
	– Madras Medical	ich@tn.nic.in
	College, Tamil Nadu	
33	Dr Aswathy, NHSRC	aswathybi92@gmail.com
34	Dr Sukanya, MoHFW	drsukanya.mohfw@gmail.com
35	Dr. M. Ramesh Babu	posubplanchfw@gmail.com
36	Dr. Ranjan Kumar Choudhury	ranjan.choudhury@nhsrcindia.org
37	Dr.kameswara prasad	dapcu.nellore@gmail.com
38	Dr. Prasant, Rishikesh	prashant.ped@aiimsrishikesh.edu.in
39	Ms. Surabhi.Sethi	surabhi.sethi@nhsrcindia.org
40	Shri Yogendar Pal Singh, Consultant, MoTA	yogendar.23@govcontractor.in
41	Ms. Naureen Shahid, Consultant, MoTA	naureen.shahid@govcontractor.in
42	Ms. Divya Devi, Consultant, MoTA	divya.devi@govcontractor.in
		divyadevijaba@gmail.com
43	GB SINGH	gb.singh@nhsrcindia.org
44	Kiran	apsbcspo@gmail.com
45	Prabir	prabirkumarkhilar@gmail.com
46	TRICOR	dgm.tricor.admn@gmail.com
47	TRI Telangana	samujwala.tcrtri@gmail.com