To

The Principal Secretaries / Secretaries,
Tribal Departments of State Governments / UTs


Madam / Sir

The undersigned is directed to refer to the last meeting held on the subject cited on 24.02.2020 under the chairmanship of Secretary, TA with States/UTs to review their preparedness with regard to next hearing of the Supreme Court for compliance of the order given by the Hon’ble Supreme Court on 28.02.2019 in the Writ Petition Civil No. 50/2008 & 109/2008 filed by Wildlife First of India and to say that as you are aware, the said review was done as timeline sought by most of the States in respective Affidavits filed by them before Hon’ble Supreme Court for undertaking the process of review of rejected claims, had either expired or was going to expire soon.

2. During the meeting, States/UTs had given status of review of rejected claims, which was compiled in Annexure II of the minutes (copy enclosed as Attachment A) of the said meeting and the same was circulated to the States / UTs.

3. Now, all the State Governments are requested to furnish a status report regarding the current status of recognition of forest rights under FRA, 2006 (including the results of review of rejected claims, as well as recognition/rejection of fresh claims) in the attached format.

(Uttam Kumar Kar)
Under Secretary to the Government of India
Ph. No. 23340473
E-mail Id: - uttam.kk@nic.in

Table 1: Statement showing the status of disposal of Claims under FRA, 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the State</th>
<th>No of claims received up to June 2020</th>
<th>No. of Titles Distributed up to June 2020</th>
<th>No of claims rejected up to June, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1) (2) (3) (4)</td>
<td>(5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Statement showing the status of disposal of cases under review under FRA, 2006
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the State</th>
<th>No of cases for review up to June 2020 out of columns at 8 &amp; 9 of Table 1</th>
<th>No. of Titles Distributed up to June 2020 out of columns 2 &amp; 3 of Table 2</th>
<th>No of claims rejected up to June, 2020 out of columns 2 &amp; 3 of Table 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
F. No. 19020/02/2019- FRA
Government of India
Ministry of Tribal Affairs
FRA Division

Gate No. -5, First Floor,
Jeewan Tara Building,
Parliament Street, New Delhi, 110001
Dated: 6th March, 2020

To,

The Principal Secretary,
Tribal Development Department,
All State Governments,

Sub: Minutes of the meeting held on 24th February 2020 taken under the chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of Tribal Affairs, Government of India with States/ UTs to review the implementation of Forest Rights Act, 2006 and Rules thereunder and to discuss regarding their preparedness for compliance of the order given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 28.02.2019 in the Writ Petition (Civil) No. 50/2008 & 109/2008 filed by Wildlife Trust of India.

Madam/ Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith minutes of the meeting held on 24.2.2020 on the above subject for necessary action.

Your Faithfully

(Uttam Kumar)

Under Secretary to Government of India

PI - 011- 2334047-3

[Stamp: Official]

Issued on 18-2-2020 with enclosures.
Minutes of the meeting held on 24th February, 2020 taken under the chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of Tribal Affairs, Government of India with States/UTs to Review the implementation of Forest Rights Act, 2006 and Rules thereunder and to discuss regarding their preparedness for compliance of the order given by the Hon’ble Supreme Court on 28.02.2019 in the Writ Petition (Civil) No. 50/2008 & 109/2008 filed by Wildlife Trust of India and issues related to other Schemes related to Ministry of Tribal Affairs (MoTA).

1. A meeting was held on 24th February under the chairmanship of Secretary, Tribal Affairs (TA), Government of India, at Dr. Ambedkar International Centre, New Delhi to review the implementation of the Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006 (in short FRA, 2006) in States and UTs and their progress for timely implementation of the directions given by the Hon’ble Supreme Court on 28.02.2019 in WP(C) No.50/2008 & WP(C) No. 109/2008 wherein the Hon’ble Supreme Court has, inter alia, stayed its order dated 13.02.2019 regarding eviction of rejected cases under FRA, 2006. The meeting also aimed at reviewing action of State Governments with regard to process made in reviewing of rejected claims within the time limit sought for this purpose in the respective affidavits filed by the States/UT Governments before Hon’ble Supreme Court in compliance with the order dated 28.2.2019. In the meeting, issues related to EMRS, Grant, SCA to TSS, NGO, Scholarship, Finance, NCST were also discussed. A list of participants is at Annexure I.

2. The session opened with the welcome address and introductory remarks by Shri. Jaideep Singh Kochher, Economic Adviser (EA), MoTA, Government of India. EA recalled the discussion undertaken in the previous meeting held on 18th June, 2019. EA asked about the preparedness of the State Governments with regard to next hearing of the Hon’ble Supreme
Court in the matter of W. P. (C) No. 50/2008 and 109/2008 as for the most of the States, timeline sought by them in their affidavits for undertaking the process of review of rejected claims has either expired or is going to complete soon.

3. EA (JSK) also emphasized upon the need of sending Monthly Progress Report (MPR) to MoTA on regular basis as through MPR, this Ministry monitors the progress of implementation of FRA in States and also sent by MoTA to PMO on monthly basis.

4. Secretary, TA, Government of India while setting the context of the meeting, expressed that the purpose of this meeting is to have open discussion on the progress made by State Governments with a view to know the status of progress in respect of timeline sought by them in their affidavits, filed before Hon’ble Supreme Court for reviewing the rejected claims under FRA. Secretary, TA expressed that the purpose of discussion is also to sharing views/feedback of the State Governments on the functioning of schemes meant for upliftment of tribal population to ensure proper tribal development.

5. Then, the Senior Technical Director, NIC presented the dashboard designed for monitoring and analysing fund flow, sanction of fund to NGOs engaged in carrying out tribal developmental activities in different States. Similarly, a dashboard for Scholarship was also presented. She elaborated the utility of dashboard designed for Scholarship in analysing the gender-wise and state-wise distribution of scholarship amount under various Scholarship Schemes.

6. Dr. Naval Jit Kapoor, Joint Secretary (JS), MoTA, gave a PowerPoint presentation on the NGO and Scholarship issues wherein he
highlighted that States have been asked to inform whether the funds given during the year have been utilized and share data for further release of funds. Further, all proposals and communications are required to be made through portal, but still many States not sending it through portal. Data shared on DBT portal is also incomplete, hence, he asked for updation of such data on the portal. Further, States, which are still on manual mode, have to onboard on NSP or make their own portal. Some States asked for increasing the income limit under the Scholarship Schemes, considering socio-economic changes.

7. Mr. Saurabh Jain, Joint Secretary (EMRS), MoTA, gave a presentation on issues related to EMRS where he highlighted the issues pertaining to identification of land for construction of EMRS buildings and States were asked to speed up this process of construction of building for EMRS. Issues related to operationalization of EMRS Societies in the States and optimum utilization of already functional schools were pressed upon. All the States were asked to migrate towards CBSE norms for fulfilling affiliation criteria to bring uniformity and quality aspect in EMRS education.

8. This was followed by presentation given by Ms. Meera Ranjan Tshering, Joint Secretary, (Administration) on the issues related to SCA to TSS and PVTGs wherein issues related to timely furnishing of UCs and PPRs were raised. She also sought feedback/inputs of the State Governments on changing the name of SCA to TSS as SCA to Tribal Development as tribal development presents the comprehensive nature of development. She also suggested that apart from State Governments, Union Territories (UTs) may also come with proposal of central assistance under SCA to TSS. In addition to that, States Governments that have not initiated the population survey of PVTGs communities were suggested to initiate the process.
9. It was followed by issues discussed by Ms. Yatinder Prasad, JS (FA) on the timely submission of UCs on the grants/ funds provided by the MoTA for various schemes so that next tranche of fund may be allocated to the State Governments on a timely basis.

10. Shri Jaideep Singh Kochher, EA (JSK) communicated to those State Governments which still have not furnished comments/ views on Xasa committee’s recommendations to MoTA.

11. The State Governments were invited to present the Status of FRA implementation and action taken regarding review of rejected claims to ascertain number of evictions in compliance with Supreme Court order dated 28.2.2019 and affidavits filed by respective State Governments in respect of review progress. Summary of these presentations may be found at Annexure II.

12. The delegate from FSI, Joint Director, FSI also addressed the participants to share their concerns about lack of response /insufficient information from many States/UTs in response to their letters sent in connection with compliance of directions to FSI given by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in its Order dated 28.2.2019. He conveyed that in adherence with the Hon’ble Supreme Court order, FSI wrote to all PCCFs and HoFFs to send shape files of Geo-referenced boundaries of those land parcels on which claims of Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers have been rejected. Letters with similar request were issued to Chief Secretaries of all concerned States. However, State Governments have not furnished some quantifiable data to FSI.
9. The important points highlighted by Secretary, TA during the course of presentations by States, are as follows:

- Tribal Department and Forest Department of the State Government should work in collaboration with each other so that the final position of State Government must be coherent in this regard.
- State Governments should take an analytical exercise in respect of classification of those claims which may lead to eviction. Proper classification of finally rejected claims leading to eviction on the basis of nature of land such as agricultural land or habitation/dwelling units needs to be done in order to know the consequences of eviction may produce on the claimants.
- State Governments should undertake an exercise to analyse the claims which may lead to eviction to know whether the eviction process may affect one person of family/household or may result in eviction of a whole family.
- Spatial distribution of finally rejected cases needs to be known.
- An elaborate classification, depicting the nature of eviction that may take place is necessary and essential to ascertain that entire process must not lose its humane face and not amount to inhumane process at all.
- FRA does not mention any provisions related to eviction process.
- Chief Secretaries and Political Authorities must be apprised of the number of eviction cases on rejection.
- All States/UTs concerned should report to /provide requisite information to FSI called for by them and for this purpose,
cooperation of Forest Department of the State Governments may be sought.

- States have raised the issue of financial constraints for FRA implementation or review process. At this, Secretary suggested them to send their proposal under SCA to TSS.

10 A session was held by NIC, MoTA to showcase the module/portal designed by MoTA for the purpose of Monthly Progress Reporting Submission Module to submit the information on progress made by State Governments on implementation of FRA, 2006 in digital manner. At present, State Governments are required to furnish progress report on monthly basis of their respective State to MoTA through this report to monitor the progress and compile the same to send to PMO. This online portal will facilitate State Governments to submit their report on_progress made by State Governments on implementation of FRA, 2006 in digital manner and enable MoTA to generate MPR on monthly basis. NIC team described to State Governments about how to use the portal to feed the information and for any technical issue, NIC, MoTA may be contacted in this regard.

11. The meeting ended with the closing address by Secretary, MoTA who, in his closing remarks, advised the State Governments to make all the exercise in a manner more humane and thoughtful manner. A proper coordination among Department of Tribal Welfare and Forest Department needs to be worked out. Injustice to tribal people should not be done. He further concluded that MoTA is in the process of changing guidelines of Schemes in coming years, hence any suggestion/input from State Government is welcome.
List of Participants in meeting held by Ministry of Tribal Affairs for Review of FRA Implementation of Forest Right Act, 2006, on 24-02-2020
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>11) Delhi</td>
<td>1) Shri Subhah Kumar, 2) Shri Nishanth Saxena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>1) Rajiv Ranjan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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Annexure - II

Gist of presentations made by the State Governments during the meeting held on 24.02.2020 at Dr. Ambedkar International center, New Delhi under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Ministry of Tribal Affairs, Government of India to discuss action taken by State Governments regarding Order given by Hon’ble Supreme Court on 28.02.2019 in the WP(C) 109/2008 filed by Wildlife Trust of India

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Claims to be reviewed</th>
<th>Claims Already reviewed</th>
<th>Claims pending for review</th>
<th>Claims Recognized after review</th>
<th>Total No. of Rejected claim having achieved finality</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2355</td>
<td>As of now 27,467 rejected claimants have been served with speaking orders, while remaining 37,361 rejected claimants is under process duly identifying the names and the habitations of the rejected claimants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Arunachal Pradesh is a 100% tribal State and forest land as well as resources of the State are possessed by various ethnic tribal groups except for reserved lands for wild life sanctuaries, reserved forest. Each group has specific geographical boundary leaving no scope of territorial infringement or dominion by one community over the other. Therefore, chances of deprivation or rejection of forest rights does not arise in Arunachal Pradesh. Affidavit submitted by the State had clarified that there is no rejection of claims due to nil receipt of claims till date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
though the State Government has constituted the all Committees as per FRA, 2006.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State/UT</th>
<th>Number of Forest rights claims received</th>
<th>Final number of evictions</th>
<th>Process of review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assam</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>4696</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>4481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chhattisgarh</td>
<td>454379 (ST: 254411; OTFD: 199968)</td>
<td>394851 (ST: 224023; OTFD: 170828)</td>
<td>The review process of rejected individual claims is underway. In 2019, the State Government has undertaken capacity building and training programs including development of literature in regional language and distribution of copies of the Act.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.T. of Dadra and Nagar Haveli</td>
<td>1548</td>
<td>3769</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goa</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>13715</td>
<td>13364</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Himachal Pradesh</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Claimants were intimated in writing and were given opportunity to adduce evidence in the support of their claims, therefore no suo-moto review has been undertaken.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td>180611</td>
<td>61366</td>
<td>119245</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The State Government has asked minimum 6 months from July 2019 for completion of process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>MIS Number</th>
<th>Total Claimants</th>
<th>Under Review</th>
<th>Final</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kerala</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>801</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madhya Pradesh</td>
<td>360877</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>22509</td>
<td>10003</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>9213</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meghalaya</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To complete the process, it is expected to take at least 12 months; till then no claimant will be evicted. The State Government is using the MIS to identify, and review rejected claims. The State has also undertaken a number of training and capacity building programs to train field staff and officers in using MIS, mobile application for using cartosat images to determine validity of the claim.

While Forest and Environment Department is the Nodal Department for implementation of FRA, the SLMC, DLC and SDLC have been notified by the Department. Further, Village Employment Council (VECs) are being proposed to be treated as Gram Sabha to serve the purpose of Section 2 (g) of the FRA, 2006. Land tenure system in Meghalaya allows the predominantly tribal residents of Meghalaya to exercise their customary rights over land and tribal people of Meghalaya have been enjoying complete possession and other rights.
Mizoram being a Sixth Schedule state & having nearly 100% of the population as Scheduled Tribe and is sharing common ownership of non-reserved forest land under the jurisdiction of every village. Hence, the issue of deprivation or rejection of rights never arise. Since no claims of rights have ever been received till date, State Government revoked the implementation of the Act on 19th November, 2019. Affidavit dated 4th April, 2019 for Writ Petition (C) No.109 of 2008 furnished by Secretary, Social Welfare Department Government of Mizoram had clearly affirmed that there is no rejection of claims for forest right in Mizoram due to NIL receipt of Claims.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Claimants</th>
<th>Rtc</th>
<th>6-314</th>
<th>13851</th>
<th>33321</th>
<th>89956</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mizoram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odisha</td>
<td>13851</td>
<td></td>
<td>7537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>33321</td>
<td>34548</td>
<td>5443</td>
<td>4751</td>
<td>5906</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telanagana</td>
<td>89956</td>
<td></td>
<td>714</td>
<td>5312</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After reviewing the rejected claims at DLC level, currently rejection at the Gram Sabha or SDLC level is under process. 6,314 rejected claimants are not to be evicted.

18,446 rejected claims are non-evictable claims due to following reasons: not possession, double claims, duplicate claims, possession on other revenue land, claimant death, wrong entry, migration etc.

The State has requested for 6 months' time for reviewing of claims. District Collectors were directed by the Special Chief Secretary, Tribal Welfare department vide Meeting conducted on
27.08.2019 to strictly follow the timeline as directed by Chief Secretary on 08.07.2019 for arriving at the finality in cases deemed ineligible, duly following process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Total Claims</th>
<th>Rejected Claims</th>
<th>Finality</th>
<th>Process Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>9068</td>
<td>214</td>
<td></td>
<td>Review of rejected claims is under process. The State has requested 6-month time to complete the review process. Instruction have been issued to District Collectors to review all the rejected cases following the procedure laid in FRA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripura</td>
<td>68388</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Chief Secretary has conducted meeting with all concerned District Collectors, SDMs, DCM &amp; all BDO's through video conference. A web-site has been designed for database of all rejected claims. The suo-moto review process is under progress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uttarakhand</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Out of 51, 35 claims have been rejected at SDLC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Bengal</td>
<td>59526</td>
<td>3575</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>54993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>